



# Reliability Optimization Real Analysis Problems by Genetic Algorithm

Syed Muzaffer Hussain Dehbendhi, Dept. of Mathematics, Vishwabharti Women's Degree College, Rainawari, Srinagar (Kashmir)  
Dr. Ashwini Kumar Nagpal, Professor, Dept. of Mathematics, SunRise University, Alwar (Raj.)

## Abstract

The reliability of a system can be defined as the probability that the structure will be working really up a predefined degree of time (i.e., mission time) under communicated conditions. As systems are ending up being more convoluted, the consequences of their sketchy approach to acting have become outrageous in regards to cost, effort, and so forth. The interests in getting to the system trustworthiness and the need to deal with the unflinching nature of things and structure have become progressively huge.

## Introduction

Assume  $f$  is  $p$  real valued function characterized on  $p$  subset  $G$  of  $S$ . We will characterize  $\lim$  of  $f$  ( $y$ ) as  $y \in G$  methodologies  $p$  pt  $p$  which isn't really in  $G$ . First, we must be clear about what we mean by the assertion

" $y \in G$  methodologies  $p$  pt  $p$ ".

### Lim pt of $p$ group $G \subseteq S$

**Defn 1.1** Assume  $G \subseteq S$  and  $p \in S$ . Then, at that pt, an is supposed to be  $p$  lim pt of  $G$  if for whichever  $\delta > 0$ , the intrval  $(p - \delta, p + \delta)$  comprises something like one pt from  $G$  other than perhaps  $p$ , i.e.,

$$G \cap \{y \in S : 0 < |y - p| < \delta\} = \emptyset.$$

**Lemma 1.1** The proclamations in the accompanying can be handily checked:

- (i) Every point in an intrval is its limit point.
- (ii) If  $I$  is an open intrval of finite len, next, at that pt, both the end pts of  $I$  are lim pts of  $I$ .
- (iii) The group of all lim pts of an intrval  $I$  of finite len comprises of pts from  $I$  along with its endpoints.
- (iv) If  $G = \{y \in S : 0 < |y| < 1\}$ , next, at that pt, each pt in the intrval  $[-1, 1]$  is  $p$  lim pt of  $G$ .
- (v) If  $G = (0, 1) \cup \{2\}$ , next, at that pt, 2 isn't  $p$  lim pt of  $G$ . The group of all lim pts of  $G$  is the closed intrval  $[0, 1]$
- (vi) If  $G = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , next, at that pt, 0 is the main lim pt of  $G$ .
- (vii) If  $G = \{m/(m + 1) : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , next, at that pt, 1 is the main lim pt of  $G$ .

For the sometime in the future, we present the accompanying defn.

**Defn 1.2 (I)** For  $p \in S$ , an open intrval of the structs  $(p - \delta, p + \delta)$  for some  $\delta > 0$  is termed as  $p$  neighbor-hood of  $p$ ; it is likewise termed  $p$   $\delta$ -neighbor-hood of  $p$ .

(ii) By an erased neighbor-hood of  $p$  pt  $p \in S$  we mean  $p$  group of the structs  $D_\delta := \{y \in S : 0 < |y - p| < \delta\}$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , i.e., the group  $(p - \delta, p + \delta) \setminus \{p\}$ .

With the wordings in the above defn, we can express the accompanying:

A pt  $p \in S$  is  $p$  lim pt of  $G \subseteq S$  if and provided that each erased neighbor-hood of  $p$  comprises somewhere around one pt of  $G$ . Specifically, assuming that  $G$  comprises either an erased neighbor-hood of an or on the other hand on the off chance that  $G$  comprises an open intrval with one of its end pts is  $p$ , next, at that pt,  $p$  will be  $p$  lim pt of  $G$ .

The Laplace alter is entirely beneficial in explore and anticipate the systems that are lin and invariant. In the start of 1910, these alter procedures were functional in signal handling at chime labs for the sign separating and phone long lines corres by H.Bode and others.

After whilst alter speculation gave the foundation of traditional control speculation. These were worked on during the z of world conflicts and up to around 1960. At that the z of



1960 the state variable procedures started to be utilized for control plans. A mathematician described by the fenchs advancement.

The name Laplace alter is gotten from the French mathematician and cosmologist Pierre-Simon Laplace, who utilized comparable kind of alter speculation in his work on probability speculation. The alter speculation was far reaching at the z after world conflict 2nd. What's added, in nineteenth century it is utilized by Abel, lerch, Heaviside and Bronwich.

By the soln of diff equ we didn'z acquire the matter exceptionally far. Sir Josepd who was Euler portray this on job on incorporating thickness fn.

In 1782 it appears to be that these kind of integral pulled in the Laplace consideration in which It beneath Euler soul in utilizing the integrals. Be that as it may, In 1785 Laplace moved forward for simply searching for p soln as integral. What's added, these alter become exceptionally famous.

Akien to p Mellin alter, the entire of p diff equ just took for soln of the altered equ. Then, at that pt, he began to apply Laplace alter similarly for determining p portion of its ppt.

The Laplace alter is one of the most broadly involved alter having numerous apps in material science and designing. It is meant by  $L\{f(z)\}$ , it is p lin operator of p fn  $f(z)$  with p real argument  $z(z \geq 0)$  that alters it to p fn  $f(s)$  with p intricate arguments. This alteration is basically bifective for most of reasonable purposes. The 2 individual groups of  $f(z)$  and  $f(s)$  are found in tables Laplace alteration is productive which mean in that numerous relationships and activity over the pictures can be compare to added straightforward relationships and tasks over the pictures. Laplace alter is derieved from the value of researcher Pierre-Simon Laplace who was workon his probability speculation.

The Laplace alter is likewise connected with the fourier alter, yet the fourier alter tells about p fn or sign as p seq of method.

Laplace alter is utilized for address the diff equ and integral equ. In physical science and designing the Laplace alter is utilized for examination of lin z invariant system like electrical circuits, symphonious oscillator's optical gadgets and specialist system. In the sort of investigation, the Laplace alter is frequently expected as p alter from the z space, in which sources of info and results are fn of intricate recurrence.

In entity z, it is specified that funct portrayal of an info or result to p system. Additionally the Laplace alter gives an option funct depiction that after improve on the method involved with breaking down the conduct of the system, or in making another system in light of p group of details.

**MOMENT PROBLEMS**

According to designing mechanicals apparatuses and strategy, When p force of specific greatness is functional on p molecule next "moment" is turn out, numerically, this is estimated as the result of force functional and the powerful expanse of the contour and size of the molecule.

Straightforward witticism behind clarifying this defn is that "moment" is oversee by 2 variables accordingly there ought to be limitation of precise 2 variables in the event of prob. Reality for the individuals who think about just p single variable and talk about presence of p Moment Prob as it were.

In the event of Laplace alter commonly we observe that L operator is pertinent on single variables as  $L(\sin at)$ ,  $L(\cos at)$ . and so forth shouldn'z something be said about  $L(\sin xy)$ ,  $L(\cos xy)$ ?

So for p designing prob containing 2 variables is really p Non-Moment prob however Laplace alter works even p fn containing p solitary variable, named just like p "moment" prob.



**Multidisciplinary Indexed/Peer Reviewed Journal. SJIF Impact Factor 2023-6.753**

So our perception is that arrangement of Moment is conceivable. All in all, why not p Non-Moment prob?

**NON-MOMENT PROBLEMS**

It is actually the casing that when p specific extent of force is functional on p molecule, all through each single pieces of the molecule there comes p few sensation and p thermodynamic change is perceptible all of the z. Uprooting like sub-atomic dislodging ought to likewise be taken as p pt of thought. Two variables as force and successful expanse are considered whilst pivotal turning pt however result stays static infers that no “moment” is recognizable as examines instance of partial separation of the fn and its upshots which incorporates expont fn. Accordingly, why just Moment prob and not non-”moment” prob, which featured and acknowledged by Laplace as p trailblazer behind innovation of idea of “moment” prob. It is very much like idea of rest and movement in the designing mechanics, where both are relative peculiarities and absolute rest is very incomprehensible. Allow us to approach to isolate designing Mechanics prob into 2 sections from top to bottom just as Moment prob and Non-Moment prob.



We name p non-”moment” prob. Physicists might give their argument that uprooting in p molecule is p finished ward factor on the greatness of functional force.

They can likewise uphold the single variable import, where Laplace is by all accounts concurred. This naturally shows that there ought to be characterization for variable bearing prob as “moment” prob and non “moment” prob. This supplementary legitimizes our rationale that main actual review isn't sufficient, there is need of sub-atomic concentrate as well. Which is locked about “moment” based prob. So there ought to be 2 kinds of various fn as indicated by the no of variables present in the fn Sin (at), Taken by Laplace, where "p" is whichever inconsistent const sin at is p “moment” prob (for instance). for transgression xy where y and y both are variables and both of one might be thought as p const according to the need of the soln of the prob (if there ought to be an occurrence of partial diff) value of L sin(xy) is inaccessible. Accordingly, sin(xy) is plainly p non-”moment” prob (This is just p single model) the vast majority of the implied fn are the generators of non “moment” prob.

The technique for Laplace Alter enjoys the benefit of straightforwardly giving the soln of diff equ with periphery values. The vital of 1st observing the soln and afterward assessing from it the erratic const additionally the prepared alters lessen the prob of settling diff equ to added arithmetical control.

**Bilateral Laplace alter**

Assuming the normal 1-sided alter basically exceptional instance bilateral alter increased fn. Alter is distinct beneath:

$$F(s) = L\{f(t)\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-st}(t)dt.$$

**Inverse Laplace alter**

The reverse Laplace alter is specified by the accompanying intricate integral, different integral:

$$f(t) = L^{-1}\{F(s)\} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma-iT}^{\gamma+iT} e^{st}F(s)ds,$$

An elective equ for the reverse Laplace alter is specified.

**Region of convg**





Assuming  $f$  is  $p$  integrable fn (or all the added commonly  $p$  of delimited variety), next, at that pt, the Laplace alter  $F(s)$  of  $f$  combines gave that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^R f(t)e^{-ts} dt$$

converges entirely if the integral

$$\int_0^R |f(t)e^{-ts}| dt$$

verifies. The Laplace alter is normally comprehended as conditionally convg, implying that it combines in the previous rather than the last option sense.

The group merges utterly is both of the structs  $\text{Re}\{s\} > a$  or, added than likely  $\text{Re}\{s\} \geq p$ , where an is  $p$  drawn out const,  $-\infty \leq p \leq \infty$ .

The const an is termed as absolute convg.

Similarly, the 2-sided alter blends utterly in  $p$  portion of the structs  $a < \text{Re}\{s\} < b$ , and perhaps comprising the lines.

The values of  $s$  Laplace alter merges utterly is termed as the region of absolute convg of absolute convg.

On the off chance that the Laplace alter unites.

So, comprising  $p$  few pts of the periphery line  $\text{Re}\{s\} = p$ . In the region of convg

$$F(s) = (s - s_0) \int_0^\infty e^{-(s-s_0)t} \beta(t) dt, \beta(u) = \int_0^u e^{-s_0 t} f(t) dt.$$

Convg  $F(s)$  can really be communicated as the utterly fn. Specifically, it is scientific.

An assortment of theorems, as Paley-Wiener theorems, subsist alter in the region of convg.

In apps,  $p$  fn linking to  $p$  lin  $z$ -invariant (LTI) system is steady if each delimited info creates  $p$  delimited result. Comparable convg of alter of the motivation reaction fn.

Therefore, steady specified the posts alter of the motivation fn.

**Laplace-Stieltjes alter**

The (one-sided) Laplace-Stieltjes alter of  $p$  fn  $g: S \rightarrow S$  is characterized integral

$$\{L^*g\}(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} dg(t).$$

The fn  $g$  is understood abd is of delimited disparity.

$$g(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$$

Next, at that pt, the alter and the alter of  $f$  correspond. The alter is the alter of measure allied to  $g$ . So by and by, the main differentiation between the 2 alters is that the Laplace alter is considered working on the thickness fn of the action, whilst the Laplace-Stieltjes alter is considered fn.

**Fourier alter**

The consistent Fourier alter is comparable to assessing the bilateral alter with fanciful:

$$\hat{f}(\omega) = F\{f(t)\}$$



$$= L\{f(t)\}_{s=i\omega} = F(s)_{s=i\omega} \quad (1.5.1) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega t} f(t) dt.$$

This term leaves the factor of scaling  $1/\sqrt{2\pi}$ , which is regularly remembered for defns of the Fourier alter. This relationship is regularly worn to decide the recurrence range of p sign.

Nonetheless, p relation of the structs

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0^+} F(\sigma + i\omega) = \hat{f}(\omega)$$

holds under p lot added fragile condn. e.g., this holds for the above model specified that the lim is perceived as p feeble lim of measures (notice ambiguous geography). General condn for the lim of the alter of p fn on the alter appears.

**Mellin alter**

The Mellin alter and its reverse are connected with the 2-sided Laplace alter by p straightforward variation in variables.

$$G(s) = M\{g(\theta)\} = \int_0^{\infty} \theta^s g(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{\theta}$$

We group  $\theta = e^{-z}$  we acquire p 2-sided Laplace alter.

**Z-alter**

The independent Z-alter is merely the alter of preferably indication with the replacement of

$$Z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{sT}$$

Where  $T = 1/f_s$  is the casing epoch and  $f_s$  is the sampling

Assume

$$\Delta_T(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta(t - nT)$$

Be p sampling impulse instruct and

$$x_q(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x(t) \Delta_T(t) = x(t) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta(t - nT)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x(nT) \delta(t - nT) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x[n] \delta(t - nT)$$

be the cont-z depiction of  $x(t)$

$x[n] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x(nT)$  are the isolated of  $x(t)$ .

The Laplace alter  $X_q(t)$  is

$$X_q(s) = \int_0^{\infty} x_q(t) e^{-st} dt$$



$$= \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x[n] \delta(t - nT) e^{-st}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x[n] \int_0^{\infty} \delta(t - nT) e^{-st}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x[n] e^{-nsT}$$

Characterization of the independent Z-alter of the distinct fn  $x[n]$

$$X(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x[n] z^{-n}$$

**WIKIPEDIA**  
The Free Encyclopedia

Contrasting the last 2 equ, we locate one-sided Z-alter:

$$Xq(s) = X(z) \Big|_{z=e^{sT}}$$

**CONCLUSION**

In most of the methods in reliability, the assumption on uncertainty is based on precise probabilities and the reliabilities of system components are to be known and fixed positive numbers which lying between 0 and 1.

**REFERENCES**

- Ahrendt (2017). The generalized Laplace alter on the scales comprising the exposure of some inversion formulas for the Laplace alter via counter integn.
- Asher (2016). The over-view of what Laplace Alter is & its application in the industry. It's initial use of Laplace alter of converting p z domain fn into its frequency domain equivalent was discussed.
- Baker, G.A. and P. Graves-Morris. (2016). *Pade Approximants*, 2nd edition, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 59, Cambridge University Press.
- Basrak, B.G., Krizmanic and J. Senger (2015). A fn of lim theorem for partial sums of dependent random variable.
- Belgacem (2015). The fn of Laplace is altered throughh differentiation & the reverse of Laplace is in an automatically integrated process.
- Bening, V.E. and Korolev V.K. (2013). Statistical estimation of parameter of fractionally stable distribution. J. Math. Science (NY) 189 (6) 899 – 902.
- Bernstein, S. (2019). Sur la, defn et les proprietes des fonctions analytiques d'une variable reellev. Mathematische Annalen, vol. 75, pp. 449-468.
- Bertsimas (2018). Prob involving “moment” random variables & large no of allied areas of mathematical economics research.