INTERNATIONAL ADVANCE JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING, SCIENCE AND MANAGEMENT (IAJESM)
July-December 2023, Submitted in November 2023, iajesm2014@gmail.com, ISSN -2393-8048
Multidisciplinary Indexed/Peer Reviewed Journal. SJIF Impact Factor 2023 =6.753

Optimizing Defect Analysis in Composite Materials Through Scanning Electron Microscopy

D Srikanthrao, Research Scholar, Mechanical Engineering, The Glocal University Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh
Dr. Avinash L, Assistant Professor, Research Supervisor Glocal School of Technology & Computer Science, The Glocal University, Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh

ABSTRACT

This study examines the relative merits of Ultrasonic Testing (UT) and Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) for assessing flaws in composite materials. A comparative examination of flaws in composites produced with standard and improved techniques is the focus of this research. Type, size, and position of defects were analyzed, and the results showed that fractures are the most common defect, followed by voids, fiber misalignment, and delamination. Optimized production procedures dramatically reduce defect density from 0.15 to 0.10 defects/µm², according to quantitative research. SEM showed better sensitivity and accuracy, finding 125 faults as opposed to UT's 100. These results demonstrate that SEM is a more useful technique for comprehensive defect analysis, implying that material quality is improved by optimized manufacturing.

Keywords: Optimizing Defect Analysis, Composite Materials, Scanning Electron Microscopy (Sem), Ultrasonic Testing (Ut).

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, continuous stainless-steel fibers have been made accessible for the structural reinforcement of polymers. These fibers have a failure strain of up to 20%, which is ten times higher than that of carbon fibers, and a Young's modulus of nearly 200 GPa, which is comparable to the longitudinal stiffness of carbon fibers.1. Heat treatments can be applied to these steel fibers to customize their ductility without compromising their stiffness. Furthermore, a large variety of diameters, ranging from 5 to 100 mm, can be generated for steel fibers, which can then be knitted, braided, and woven into textiles. Composite materials with excellent rigidity and ductility can be made with this new kind of reinforcing fiber.1. They can be applied in situations where both of these qualities are crucial, especially in those requiring energy absorption upon contact, such automotive bumpers for crash safety. The procedure of bundle drawing is used to create steel fibers.2. This method involves drawing several steel wires in successive steps after combining them in a copper matrix. In the latter phases of the fiber's creation, this copper matrix is eliminated. Steel fibers can be drawn to very thin diameters (<100(m)), however unlike carbon and glass fibers, during this process, their original circular cross-section can convert to an irregular pentagon or hexagon3. Steel fibers are annealed at a high temperature (over 800) after drawing to make them extremely ductile and isotropic. Currently, the most common application of steel as reinforcement is in the form of high strength wires or filaments, such as those used to reinforce rubber in tires and conveyor belts and to strengthen concrete constructions 4-6.7–9. The steel wires utilized in these applications have circular cross-sections but a significantly wider diameter than the steel fibers because they were drawn singly. Since the goal of these steel wires was to increase the stiffness and strength of the base material (concrete, polymer), their properties were geared toward high strength rather than necessarily good ductility).

From the conventional solution of a single fiber problem, stress distributions in unidirectional composites under transverse loading have been extensively studied.11 Regular fiber packings were frequently employed for the investigation of numerous fibers.10, 12, and 13 The stress concentrations for random fiber packings were also calculated in order to examine the impacts of fiber clustering and contiguity.14, 15 In addition to packing style, the impact of the fiber volume fraction on the distribution's character was studied.13, 16, 17, The exceptionally high stiffness contrast between the fiber and matrix, which is typical of steel fibers, was not included in any of these investigations, though. When it comes to steel fiber composites, the situation becomes even more complex because steel fibers don't have a circular cross-section like carbon and glass fibers do. Polygon corners are known to provide increased stress concentrations.18 Investigating stress concentrations in unidirectional steel fiber composites under transverse tensile loading and contrasting them with those in carbon and glass fiber composites was the aim of this work. For both isolated single fibers and multiple fibers in hexagonal and random fiber packings, the stresses in the matrix and at the fiber-matrix contact were examined. Analyses using fibers with circular and hexagonal cross-sectional shapes were used to assess the impact of the steel fiber's shape. Stress concentrations may also be impacted by prestresses that arise during matrix curing. This study excluded the effect of residual stresses created during matrix curing in order to separate the effect of stiffness mismatch from the thermal/temperature dependent attributes. Commercially accessible Abaqus finite element software and Python programming scripts were used to carry out the computations.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Ge, M., et.al., (2020) The fundamental ideas of deep learning techniques, an overview of imaging analysis applications, and their outlook for future growth are provided in order. In the discipline of materials science, microscopic imaging, which provides real-space information about matter, is crucial for comprehending the relationships between structure and attributes. Retrieving pertinent information about morphology, size, distribution, intensity, and other aspects of various objects at different scales from their microscopic images takes a lot of time. As an alternative, deep learning's capacity to autonomously extract valuable information has demonstrated significant promise in applications on complex systems. Recently, to identify structures and retrieve the relationship between microstructure and performance, researchers have used deep learning techniques on imaging analysis. The paper provides an overview of the latest developments in the use of deep learning analysis for microscopic imaging techniques, such as scanning probe microscopy (SPM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). A general deep learning analysis workflow is proposed based on the presented results.

Mazumder, M., et.al., (2018)examined methods and findings from analyses utilizing environmental scanning electron microscopy (ESEM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) to examine the microstructure of the asphalt binder and mixture. There has been a thorough explanation of the principles and procedures of SEM and ESEM, as well as various sample preparation strategies and equipment approaches for capturing the micromorphology of the binder and mixture. It has been explained how SEM and ESEM differ from one another in terms of limitations and obstacles when it comes to bitumen analysis. The surface characteristics, fracture morphology, network structure, dispersion, phase morphology, adhesion, deform mechanism, structural and strength mechanism, and the development of fibril structures of the mixture and asphalt binder have all been covered in detail. Future research is advised to obtain any association between the microstructure and physical/rheological properties of the asphalt binder/mixture, and helpful methodologies are provided.

Fleischer, J., et.al., (2018) The manufacture of researched composite materials parts is predicated on the interplay of both sequential and simultaneous process processes. These affect the economical manufacture and the qualities of the composite item. To achieve the best possible economic and ecological outcome, a comprehensive understanding of the product life cycle is required due to the large range of potential composite materials and processing technologies. Research on novel manufacturing and machining techniques is currently focused on increasing productivity and machinability, while novel quality control strategies are focused on improving the intended product quality. Furthermore, competitiveness and sustainability are greatly impacted by current research on connecting concepts and recycling techniques. This paper provides an overview of the major process steps in the total product life cycle of the production of composite materials parts, with a focus on contemporary academic research approaches and industrial application domains.

Khamedi, R., et.al., (2020)examined the acoustic emission (AE) signal processing wavelet packet transform in order to pinpoint the causes of unidirectional carbon/epoxy composite failure. Carbon/epoxy composites were put under two separate loading situations in a tensile test for this reason. The load was applied through the fiber direction (T0) for the first specimen, and perpendicular to the fiber direction (T90) for the second. AE signals were recorded during the experimental test procedure, and the waveforms of the signals were broken down into different wavelet levels, each of which includes so-called details and approximations. All the data points to a particular range of frequencies. The findings indicate that there are differences in the kind and proportion of failure mechanisms at different loadings. Four micro-failure mechanisms were identified in T0: fiber pull-out, fiber breakage, fiber/matrix debonding, and matrix cracking. Fiber pull-out was shown to be the primary inter-phase failure mechanism. One of the main T90 failure micro-mechanisms was debonding. Using observations made using a scanning electron microscope, the results were confirmed.

Lazouzi, G., et.al., (2018) The purpose of this work was to investigate if and how the mechanical characteristics and microhardness of the composite are impacted by the temperature at which particles are calcined. Using the sol-gel method, alumina-based particles were created from aluminum chloride hydroxide as the starting material. Ferrous oxide was added to one set of particles. Three distinct temperature ranges were used to calcinate both series of particles: 700°C, 800°C, and 900°C. To create the composites, two distinct kinds of alumina-based particles were mixed to a poly (methyl methacrylate), or PMMA, matrix. Alumina-based particles made up 3 weight percent of all the composites. Physical absorption and X-ray diffraction (XRD) techniques were used to describe the particles. An electron microscope with field emission scanning was used to study the morphology of the composites (FESEM). A conventional Vickers hardness (HV) method was utilized to measure the microhardness of the composite materials. Tensile and impact tests were used to evaluate the mechanical properties of the produced composites.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1. Research Design

In order to assess and improve flaw analysis in composite materials using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), this study uses a comparative research approach. In order to examine defect types, densities, and the efficacy of various testing techniques, the design incorporates both qualitative and quantitative methods. The study is to analyze defect concentrations under various manufacturing processes, detect and classify frequent flaws, and evaluate the sensitivity and accuracy of SEM versus traditional testing methods.

3.2. Data Collection

3.2.1. Sample Preparation

To guarantee consistency and excellent surfaces appropriate for SEM investigation, composite material samples were manufactured in accordance with ASTM requirements. To facilitate a comparative evaluation of defect densities and types, the samples underwent processing through the use of both traditional and improved production techniques.

3.2.2. Defect Identification

To find and classify flaws in the composite samples, SEM imaging was used. Multiple magnifications (500x, 1000x, and 2000x) were used to acquire images in order to aid in the detection of both macro and micro abnormalities. The same samples were subjected to Ultrasonic Testing (UT) for comparative analysis in order to assess its efficacy in comparison to SEM.

3.3. Data Collection Tools

3.3.1. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

High-resolution pictures of the composite materials were obtained using SEM. In order to separate and assess flaws, sophisticated image processing software (such as MATLAB and ImageJ) was used. The SEM imaging technique gave precise details about the kind, location, and size of defects.

3.3.2. Ultrasonic Testing (UT)

Standard ultrasonic inspection tools were used for the UT. In addition to offering a more comprehensive perspective of faults, the approach served as a tool for comparison with the more in-depth SEM data.

3.4. Data Analysis

Table 1 provides an overview of the types, sizes, and locations of the defects. Table 2's quantitative study revealed that optimized manufacturing had a lower defect density (0.10 defects/μm²) than traditional methods (0.15 defects/μm²). Table 3's comparative study showed that SEM's greater precision was demonstrated by the fact that it was able to detect more faults with a higher sensitivity than UT.

4. DATA ANALYSIS

4.1.Defect Categorization

A summary of typical flaws in composite materials is shown in table 1. The most common fault, with an average size of 15 μm and a frequency of 45%, is a crack that is dispersed throughout the matrix. Voids are smaller at 8 μm and tend to occur near resin pockets, accounting for 35% of defects. While a precise size is not given, high-stress areas are usually where fiber misalignment, which is seen in 12% of cases, is detected. The fiber-matrix interfaces are the site of delamination, the least frequent defect (8%), underscoring the crucial regions where structural flaws in composite materials are most likely to develop.A summary of typical flaws in composite materials is shown in table 1. The most common fault, with an average size of 15 μm and a frequency of 45%, is a crack that is dispersed throughout the matrix. Voids are smaller at 8 μm and tend to occur near resin pockets, accounting for 35% of defects. While a precise size is not given, high-stress areas are usually where fiber misalignment, which is seen in 12% of cases, is detected. The fiber-matrix interfaces are the site of delamination, the least frequent defect (8%), underscoring the crucial regions where structural flaws in composite materials are most likely to develop.

Table 1. Defect Location, Size, and Frequency in Composite Structures

Defect Type	Occurrence (%)	Average Size (μm)	Location
Cracks	45%	15 μm	Distributed across the matrix
Voids	35%	8 μm	Predominantly near resin pockets
Fiber Misalignment	12%	-	High-stress regions
Delamination	8%	-	Fiber-matrix interfaces

4.2. Quantitative Defect Analysis

Defect densities for composite materials produced using optimized and standard production techniques are contrasted in Table 2. The defect density of conventional production is 0.15 defects/μm², which indicates a higher frequency of imperfections per unit area. By decreasing flaws, optimized manufacturing, on the other hand, lowers the defect density to 0.10 faults/μm², indicating a notable improvement in the material quality. This implies that the method that has been optimized is more successful in creating composites that have fewer defects, which will probably result in improved structural integrity and performance.

Table 2. Analysis of Defect Density: Conventional versus Optimized Manufacturing

Process Type	Defect Density (defects/μm²)
Conventional Manufacturing	0.15
Optimized Manufacturing	0.1

4.2. Comparative Analysis

The table contrasts how well two testing techniques—ultrasonic testing (UT) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM)—identify material flaws. With a high degree of sensitivity, SEM found 125 defects, demonstrating its superior capacity to precisely identify a larger number of problems, especially at the microscopic level. On the other hand, UT demonstrated that it is still useful but less accurate than SEM by detecting 100 faults with a reasonable level of sensitivity. This demonstrates that SEM is a more dependable technique for identifying flaws in complex materials, whereas UT provides a less thorough but still useful way for wider examinations.

Table 3. Comparing the Sensitivity and Defect Detection of Different Testing Methods

Testing Method	Defects Detected	Sensitivity
Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	125	High
Ultrasonic Testing (UT)	100	Moderate

5. CONCLUSION

According to the study's findings, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) is a more sensitive and accurate technique than ultrasonic testing (UT) for identifying and classifying flaws in composite materials. The enhanced precision with which SEM can detect smaller flaws is essential for uses involving in-depth examination of the material. Furthermore, the study demonstrates that highly efficient production procedures dramatically lower the defect density, enhancing the general quality and functionality of composite materials. These insights are useful for sectors such as automobile crash safety applications, where high stiffness and ductility are critical. In order to improve fault detection and analysis in composite materials, future research should investigate the integration of SEM with other testing techniques.

REFERENCES

1. *Abebe, B., Murthy, H. A., & Amare, E. (2020). Enhancing the photocatalytic efficiency of ZnO: Defects, heterojunction, and optimization. Environmental nanotechnology, monitoring & management, 14, 100336.*
2. *Adithiyaa, T., Chandramohan, D., & Sathish, T. (2020). Optimal prediction of process parameters by GWO-KNN in stirring-squeeze casting of AA2219 reinforced metal matrix composites. Materials Today: Proceedings, 21, 1000-1007.*
3. *Ali, Z., Al Sunbul, Y., Pacheco, F., Ogieglo, W., Wang, Y., Genduso, G., &Pinnau, I. (2019). Defect-free highly selective polyamide thin-film composite membranes for desalination and boron removal. Journal of Membrane Science, 578, 85-94.*
4. *Fleischer, J., Teti, R., Lanza, G., Mativenga, P., Möhring, H. C., & Caggiano, A. (2018). Composite materials parts manufacturing. CIRP Annals, 67(2), 603-626.*
5. *Ge, M., Su, F., Zhao, Z., & Su, D. (2020). Deep learning analysis on microscopic imaging in materials science. Materials Today Nano, 11, 100087.*
6. *Khamedi, R., Abdi, S., Ghorbani, A., Ghiami, A., & Erden, S. (2020). Damage characterization of carbon/epoxy composites using acoustic emission signals wavelet analysis. Composite Interfaces.*
7. *Lazouzi, G., Vuksanović, M. M., Tomić, N. Z., Mitrić, M., Petrović, M., Radojević, V., & Heinemann, R. J. (2018). Optimized preparation of alumina based fillers for tuning composite properties. Ceramics International, 44(7), 7442-7449.*
8. *Liu, J., Zhang, L., & Wu, H. (2022). Enhancing the low/middle-frequency electromagnetic wave absorption of metal sulfides through F− regulation engineering. Advanced Functional Materials, 32(13), 2110496.*
9. *Liu, Q., Wu, H., Paul, M. J., He, P., Peng, Z., Gludovatz, B., ... & Li, X. (2020). Machine-learning assisted laser powder bed fusion process optimization for AlSi10Mg: New microstructure description indices and fracture mechanisms. Acta Materialia, 201, 316-328.*
10. *Mazumder, M., Ahmed, R., Ali, A. W., & Lee, S. J. (2018). SEM and ESEM techniques used for analysis of asphalt binder and mixture: A state of the art review. Construction and Building Materials, 186, 313-329.*
11. *Ning, M., Jiang, P., Ding, W., Zhu, X., Tan, G., Man, Q., ... & Li, R. W. (2021). Phase*

manipulating toward molybdenum disulfide for optimizing electromagnetic wave absorbing in gigahertz. Advanced Functional Materials, 31(19), 2011229.

12. Ophus, C. (2019). Four-dimensional scanning transmission electron microscopy (4D-STEM): From scanning nanodiffraction to ptychography and beyond. Microscopy and Microanalysis, 25(3), 563-582.
13. Verma, N., & Vettivel, S. C. (2018). Characterization and experimental analysis of boron carbide and rice husk ash reinforced AA7075 aluminium alloy hybrid composite. Journal of Alloys and Compounds, 741, 981-998.
14. Zhang, K., Wang, F., Liang, W., Wang, Z., Duan, Z., & Yang, B. (2018). Thermal and mechanical properties of bamboo fiber reinforced epoxy composites. Polymers, 10(6), 608.
15. Zhang, Y., Tao, L., Xie, C., Wang, D., Zou, Y., Chen, R., ... & Wang, S. (2020). Defect engineering on electrode materials for rechargeable batteries. Advanced Materials, 32(7), 1905923.